Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/777,269	FAYEULLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	
All Participants:	Status of Application		
(1) <u>EDMUND H. LEE</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>SANDERS GWIN, JR</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>13 October 2004</u>	Time:		,
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE			
Claims discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE			
Prior art documents discussed: THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD			
Part II.		•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a water terecord of the substance of	ritten summary of the substand the interview, since the intervie	се
			$\dashv$
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT. CHANGES INCLUDE CANCELLING CLAIMS 16-17..